

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,064	07/12/2001	Richard C. Greenough	68099-004	1900
759	7590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
Jeffrey A. Sadowski HOWARD & HOWARD			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
Suite 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
39400 Woodward Avenue			3629	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-2856			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ch
	All the second	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>C'</i>
		09/904,064	GREENOUGH, RICH	IARD C.
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dennis Ruhl	3629	
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED THE MAILING D - Extensions of time n	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! hay be available under the provisions of 37 C HS from the mailing date of this communication.	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a		
 If the period for reply If NO period for reply Failure to reply withing Any reply received to 	y specified above is less than thirty (30) days y is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	, a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	NTHS from the mailing date of this comn ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
1)☐ Responsiv	ve to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a)☐ This actio	n is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	•	
• •	application is in condition for a			nerits is
closed in	accordance with the practice ur	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Clai	ms			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
•	above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
	is/are allowed.			
	1-82 is/are rejected.			
•	is/are objected to are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement		
		and/or election requirement.		
Application Paper				
	ication is objected to by the Ext		o by the Everniner	
	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)[may not request that any objection			
• •	ent drawing sheet(s) including the			1.121(d).
•	or declaration is objected to by t			
•—				
Priority under 35 l	-		C 440(-) (-1) (6	
	dgment is made of a claim for fo □ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).	
,	☐ Some " c)☐ None or. rtified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
	rtified copies of the priority docu		Application No	
	pies of the certified copies of th			tage
apı	olication from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the at	ached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Referen	ices Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsp	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	150)
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

Art Unit: 3629

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 17,47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. With respect to claims 17,47, applicant has not disclosed anything about how the claimed step of "chartering an aircraft" is done. If a search results in no aircraft available at the specified departure, destination, date/time, how does applicant go ahead and secure an aircraft? It just seems that if no aircraft are available with one free seat, where does the aircraft come from? Applicant has not explained anything about how this step is accomplished.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 20,82, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claim 20, there is no antecedent basis for "the geographic coding solution".

Is this supposed to be "geographic coding system" or "potential air transportation

Art Unit: 3629

solution"? There is no geographic coding solution. The examiner has interpreted this claim as the examiner best understands it.

With respect to claim 82, the dependency of this claim is not clear. There is no claim 5140. Correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-7,10-13,16,18-25,29-34,37-43,46,51-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Keller et al. (2001/0053989).

For claims 1-7,10,12,13,16,29-34,37-43,46,51-68,69-71,73-82, Keller discloses a method of allowing a customer to submit a request for a flight from a specified departure location to a specified arrival destination at a specified time. The user submits the request and a computer, server, and database(s) work together to execute a search using search criteria. The search results in an air transportation solution (available flights, no flights available, etc.). The companies that participate are one or more than one. The database is searchable as claimed. The request is capable of being sent as claimed. The search results include the cost and departure/arrival times as claimed, name of a company or companies there are results for.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,064

Art Unit: 3629

For claim 11, at some point in the setting up of a particular flight for an aircraft the flight is what can be considered empty. There has to be a 1st customer at some point so the disclosure of Keller will result in a customer making a reservation on a flight that is empty, because of the fact that he/she may be the first person to make a reservation for that flight itinerary.

For claims 18,19, because Keller allows one to search by departure and arrival location (i.e. location data) the data is organized in some manner by geographical location.

For claims 20,21, Keller discloses that exact matches may not occur. See paragraph 38. When searching a specific airport for a desired flight, you are using geographic coding (the airport locations are represented by data in the database system). If no flights are in the results of the search, Keller discloses that you will be shown the two lowest fairs available. This is an allowance for inexact matches in the search.

For claims 22-25,72, Keller uses data to represent the location of destination and arrival locations. This is inherent. Whether a name, a number, or a symbol represents the location, they are still data that represents a location. Reciting that the location is represented by latitude and longitude is still reciting a location. Specifying a location with latitude or longitude or by saying "Atlanta" is the same thing. The examiner feels that Keller anticipates the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,064

Art Unit: 3629

7. Claims 1-16,18-43,45,46,48-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (6134534).

For claims 1-7,10-13,16,18-41,43,46,48-68,69-71,73-82, Walker discloses a method of allowing a customer to submit a request for a flight from a specified departure location to a specified arrival destination at a specified time. The user submits the request and a computer, server, and database work in conjunction to execute a search using search criteria. The search results in an air transportation solution (available flights, no flights available, etc.). The companies that participate are one or more than one. The database is searchable as claimed. The request is capable of being sent as claimed. The search results include the cost and departure/arrival times as claimed, name of a company or companies there are results for.

For claims 8,9, see column 20, lines 1-14.

For claims 14,45, a commission is a fee and if you want to participate in the system of Walker as a seller of air transportation services you must pay a commission. This is a fee that comes with membership in the system. This satisfies what is claimed.

For claim 42, the examiner interprets this claim to be reciting access to a customer and no more. The term subscriber does not really mean anything and is a broad term.

For claim 72, Walker uses data to represent the location of destination and arrival locations. This is inherent. Whether a name, a number, or a symbol represents the location, they are still data that represents a location. Reciting that the location is represented by latitude and longitude is still reciting a location. Specifying a location

with latitude or longitude or by saying "Atlanta" is the same thing. The examiner feels that Keller anticipates the claimed invention.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 15,44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (6134534).

For claims 15,44, Walker discloses the collection of a commission, which is more or less a membership fee. Walker does not disclose that the buyer/customer pays a membership fee. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the customer pay the commission as opposed to the airline company. If the owner of the system wants to make money and charge a commission you only have two choices, the airline company or the buyer. Charging the customer is considered to be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Leiseca et al. (5253165) discloses a computer reservation system for aircraft.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Ruhl whose telephone number is 703-308-2262. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DENNIS RUHL
PRIMARY EXAMINER